

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/479,999	01/10/2000	LEE EVEN NAKAMURA	A7631/ST9-97	3788
7590 11/08/2005			EXAMINER	
	ION ZINN MACPEAL	HUYNH, CONG LAC T		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
085' A-4' O	09/479,999	NAKAMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cong-Lac Huynh	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Au	iaust 2005.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
,	<u> </u>					
· ··	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	A parto quayro, 1000 o.b. 11, 10					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12 and 27-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12 and 27-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
are subject to recinction and a						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
- apor 140(0)/11/01/10 Date	J,					

Application/Control Number: 09/479,999 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: response filed 8/31/05 of application filed on 01/10/00 which is a continuation of the application 08/892,842 filed on 7/11/97, now US Pat No. 6,178,433 B1.

2. Claims 7-12, 27-31 are pending in the case. Claims 7, 11 and 27 are the independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7-12, 27-31 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schumacher et al. (US Pat No. 5,933,841, 8/3/99, filed 5/17/96) in view of Egilsson (US Pat No. 6,286,017 B1, 9/4/01, filed 8/1/96).

Regarding independent claim 7, Schumacher discloses:

- dynamically generating a page of presentation material in response to a request for an information, wherein the page is generated based on the first information layout and includes the first information and does not contain the second information (col 11, lines 11-27, 55-65, figures 2A, 9A, 10, 12-13: in response to a button selecting, a selected section in a web document is displayed, and the display does not contain the information of other sections of the document; the

Application/Control Number: 09/479,999

Art Unit: 2178

fact that the page is generated to be displayed in response to the request via button selecting where the displayed page is changed according to different buttons shows that said generating is carried out dynamically in response to a request)

Schumacher does not explicitly disclose:

- defining the first variable equal to the first information and the second variable
 equal to the second information
- defining, in a second portion of the file, the first and second presentation layout,
 wherein said first presentation layout include said first variable and said second
 presentation layout includes said second variable

Instead, Schumacher discloses that each button or selector on the user interface is configured to perform pre-defined operations so that when a user places the screen pointer over the selector then selects it with the selecting device, the system interface receives data indicating which selector is selected and determines the document section associated with the selector to display the selected section (col 7, lines 1-32). Schumacher further discloses that when selecting a selector, the selected section of the document (in figure 2A) is displayed, the other sections of the document is not displayed (figures 10, 12, 13: select the SALE button or the SALE link, the SALE section is displayed, not the other sections of the document).

Egilsson discloses defining a variable for each icon in a source code where the icons representing user selected variables within the program module specification (col 7, lines 25-36).

Application/Control Number: 09/479,999

Art Unit: 2178

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

was made to have combined Egilsson into Schumacher since Egilsson teaches each

icon has an associated variable defined in a source code providing the advantage to

incorporate into Schumacher for having each portion of data corresponding to each

button or icon, and corresponding to each variable associated with each button or icon.

Regarding claims 8 and 10, which are dependent on claim 7, Schumacher discloses

that said page is World Web page for displaying on the web browser and the request,

which is actually a hyperlink, includes a uniform resource locator URL (figure 2A, col 12,

lines 34-53, and col 13, lines 40-43: the page is an HTML page; figure 10: the request

for a selected section is the SALE hyperlink).

Regarding claim 9, which are dependent on claim 7, Schumacher discloses that the

web browser does not support a hypertext markup language frame tag (figures 10,12-

13)

Claims 11-12, 27-31 are for a system and a computer-readable medium of method

claims 7-10, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Art Unit: 2178

Applicants argue that Schumacher fails to disclose the dynamic generation of a page of presentation material since Schumacher uses a browser 80 to simplify the retrieval of information by allowing access to a pre-defined section of a document, which is a static document, by pushing a button (Remarks, pages 6-7).

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The fact that the page is generated to be displayed in response to a request via button selecting where <u>the displayed page is changed according to different buttons</u> shows that said generating any page of presentation material is <u>carried out dynamically in response</u> to a different request.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/479,999 Page 6

Art Unit: 2178

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Reichek et al. (US Pat No. 5,960,448, 9/28/99, filed 12/15/95).

Rowe et al. (US Pat No. 5,860,074, 1/12/99, filed 8/14/97).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-

4125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4125.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cong-Lac Huynh Primary Examiner

Conglachuynh

Art Unit 2178

11/01/05